Narrow ship wakes and wave drag for planing hulls
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A B S T R A C T

The angle formed by ship wakes is usually found close to the value predicted by Kelvin, \( \alpha = 19.47^\circ \). However we recently showed that the angle of maximum wave amplitude can be significantly smaller at large Froude number. We show how the finite range of wavenumbers excited by the ship explains the observed decrease of the wake angle as 1/Fr for Fr > 0.5, where Fr = \( U/\sqrt{gL} \) is the Froude number based on the hull length L. At such large Froude numbers, sailing boats are in the planing regime, and a decrease of the wave drag is observed. We discuss in this paper the possible connection between the decrease of the wake angle and the decrease of the wave drag at large Froude number.

1. Introduction

A ship moving on calm water generates gravity waves presenting a characteristic V-shaped pattern. Lord Kelvin in 1887 was the first to explain this phenomenon and to show that the wake angle is constant, independent of the boat velocity (see, e.g., Darrigol, 2005). According to this classical analysis, only the wavelength and the amplitude of the waves change with the velocity, and the half-angle of the wake remains to be equal to 19.47°.

In contrast to this result described in many textbooks, we have shown recently that the apparent wake angle \( \alpha \), i.e. the angle of maximum wave amplitude, is not the Kelvin angle at large velocity, but rather decreases as 1/Fr, where Fr = \( U/\sqrt{gL} \) is the hull Froude number, based on the boat velocity \( U \) and on the waterline length \( L \) (Rabaud and Moisy, 2013). We have shown how this decrease can be simply modeled by considering the finite length of the boat. This scaling law \( \alpha \propto 1/Fr \) has recently received an analytical confirmation by Darmon et al. (2014).

Some years before Kelvin’s work, William Froude, by towing model boats, observed that at intermediate velocity the hydrodynamic drag increases rapidly with the hull Froude number (Darrigol, 2005). Since then, the computation of hydrodynamic drag has received considerable interest (Michell, 1898; Tuck, 1989; Havelock, 1919), and still represents a challenge for naval architects. The wave drag (or wave-making resistance) \( R_W \) is the part of the hydrodynamic drag that corresponds to the energy radiated by the waves generated by the hull translation. For a displacement hull sailing at large velocity (Froude number in the range 0.2–0.5) the major part of the hydrodynamic drag is actually due to the wave drag.

In this paper we review some recent results about the Froude number dependence of the wake angle and the wave drag, and discuss the possible link between the decrease of these two quantities for planing sailing boats at large Froude number.

2. Wave pattern

When a boat sails on calm water at constant velocity \( U \), the waves present around and behind the hull are only those that are stationary in the frame of reference of the boat. For a given wave of wavenumber \( k \) propagating in the direction \( \theta \) with respect to the boat course (Fig. 1), this stationary condition gives

\[
U \cos \theta = \frac{c_p}{k}
\]

where \( c_p \) is the phase velocity of the wave.

Because of the dispersive nature of gravity waves, \( c_p \) is a function of the wave number, \( c_p = \sqrt{g/k} \), implying that for a given propagation direction \( \theta \) only one wavenumber is selected by Eq. (1):

\[
k(\theta) = \frac{g}{U^2 \cos^2 \theta}
\]

As a consequence, the smallest wave number (i.e. the largest wavelength) compatible with the stationary condition is \( k_s = g/U^2 \), and corresponds to waves propagating in the boat direction (\( \theta = 0 \)). These so-called transverse waves are visible along the hull and following the boat.

Importantly, energy propagates at the group velocity and not at the phase velocity, and for gravity waves the group velocity is equal to half the phase velocity (see, e.g., Lighthill, 1978).
In general the waves generated by a boat are characterized by a spectrum containing one or several characteristic length scales, corresponding to specific ranges of wavenumbers, so the maximum of wave amplitude is not necessarily located at the cusp angle (Lighthill, 1978; Carusotto and Rousseaux, 2013).

3. Wave angle for rapid boats

The commonly accepted result of Kelvin of a constant wake angle of 19.47° is called into question by numerous observations of significantly narrower wakes for planing boats at large velocity. This is illustrated in Fig. 3, showing a wake angle of order of 10°, significantly smaller than the Kelvin prediction.

Analyzing a set of airborne images from Google Earth, we measured the wake angles and the Froude numbers for boats of various sizes and velocities. Using the scale provided on the images, we measured the overall length of the boat (assumed to be equal to the waterline length L) and the wavelength of the waves on the edge of the wake. From this wavelength the boat velocity U is determined using Eq. (2) and the Froude number is then computed. Our data clearly show a decrease of the wedge angle for Froude numbers larger than 0.5 (Fig. 2 of Rabaud and Moisy, 2013). Values as small as 7° were observed.

Wake angles smaller than the Kelvin prediction can be explained as follows. The key argument is that a moving disturbance of size L cannot excite efficiently the waves significantly smaller or larger than L. This is a general property of dispersive waves, analogous to the Cauchy–Poisson problem for the temporal evolution of an applied initial disturbance of characteristic size L at the free surface of a liquid (Havelock, 1908; Lighthill, 1978): the wave packet emitted by the disturbance travels at the group velocity \( c_g = \sqrt{g/L} \) corresponding to a wavenumber \( k_g \) of the order of \( L^{-1} \), and the characteristic wavelength at the center of the wave packet is of the order of L. It is therefore possible to model the angle of maximum wave amplitude by simply considering that the energy radiated by the boat is effectively truncated below the wavenumber \( L^{-1} \). At large boat velocity this wavenumber can be larger than the wavenumber \( k_0 = 3g/2U^2 \) which corresponds to the maximum Kelvin angle. Since only the wavenumbers of order of \( k_f \) are of significant amplitude, the angle of maximum wave amplitude is given by Eq. (3) evaluated at \( k_f \approx L^{-1} \). This simple model shows that the apparent wake angle is given by the Kelvin prediction as long as energy is supplied to \( k_0 \) (small Fr), but it is a decreasing function of velocity for Fr larger than a crossover Froude number \( F_{cr} \). Choosing \( k_f = 2\pi/L \) (the exact prefactor depends on the shape of the disturbance spectrum) gives...
Fr_c = \sqrt{3/4\pi} \approx 0.49$, and an apparent wake angle decreasing as
\[ \alpha \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi} Fr} \]  
(4)
for $Fr(\approx Fr_c$ (the angle is given in radians). In other words, the Kelvin angle of $19.47^\circ$ is always present at arbitrary $Fr$, but when $Fr(\approx Fr_c$

the energy of the waves at $19.47^\circ$ becomes negligible, and the energy emitted by the boat is preferentially radiated at the smaller angle given by Eq. (4). This law turns out to compare well with the wake patterns observed from airplane images. This is also consistent with the fact that at $Fr > 0.5$ the transverse waves behind the boat ($\theta = 0$), which are visible for smaller $Fr$ numbers, are no more visible (see Fig. 3), since their characteristic wavelengths fall outside the wave spectrum excited by the boat.

The decrease of the wake angle can be tested numerically. We follow the classical procedure of Havelock (1919) to evaluate the surface elevation field induced by an applied pressure field $P(x, y)$ at the water surface. The simplest choice is an axisymmetric Gaussian pressure distribution characterized by a single length scale $L$:
\[ P(r) = \frac{2\pi F_0}{L^2} \exp \left[ -2\pi^2 \left( \frac{r}{L} \right)^2 \right]. \]  
(5)
with $F_0 = \int P(r) \, d^2r$ the total applied force, which corresponds to the weight of the boat. Using linear potential theory, the resulting surface deformation $\zeta(x, y)$ can be computed as a Fourier integral (Lighthill, 1978; Raphaël and de Gennes, 1996; Darmon et al., 2014):
\[ \zeta(x) = -\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int \frac{k\tilde{P}(k)}{\rho(\omega/k) - (k \cdot U - ic)k} \, e^{i k x} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2}, \]  
(6)
where $\omega(k) = \sqrt{g|k|}$ and $\tilde{P}(k)$ is the two-dimensional Fourier transform of $P(r)$. Wake patterns obtained by numerical integration of Eq. (6) for various Froude numbers are shown in Fig. 4 (the small parameter $\epsilon$ is chosen of order $U/L_{box}$, with $L_{box}$ the domain size). These figures confirm the narrowing of the wake angle as $Fr$ is increased, starting from a value close to the Kelvin prediction at $Fr=0.5$ and decreasing down to $4.9^\circ$ at $Fr=2$.

4. Wave drag

To describe the well known increase of the wave drag with the Froude number for displacement navigation at moderate Froude numbers ($Fr < 0.5$) we first come back to Fig. 1, focusing on the transverse waves propagating in the boat direction ($\theta = 0$). These waves are the stationary waves observed along the side of the hull and behind the boat. Their wavenumber is given by Eq. (2), $k_x = g/U^2$, and their wavelength $\lambda_x = 2\pi/k_x$ can be written as $\lambda_x = 2\pi U/\sqrt{Fr^2}$. This wavelength increases with velocity up to a particular velocity for which the wavelength is equal to the length of the boat. This velocity corresponds to $Fr = 1/\sqrt{2\pi} \approx 0.4$. For this value the waves generated by the bow are in phase with the ones emitted at the stern and the draught (or sinkage) of the hull is maximum. This critical velocity is known as the hull limit speed, because around this Froude number the wave drag increases drastically and the trim of the boat starts to be strongly affected by the generated waves. We now know that this “limit speed” can be overcome with light and powerful boats as they reach the planing regime. In this regime, of large Froude number, hydrodynamic lift can become significant, decreasing the immersed volume of the hull if the hull shape is well designed. It is often assumed that the observed decrease of the wave drag in the planing regime results from the smaller mass of fluid which needs to be pushed away by the hull. During this transition to planing, a significant acceleration of the boat can be observed. Note that the decrease of the wave drag at large velocity is often partly hidden by the increase of the other terms of the hydrodynamic drag, which typically increases as $Fr^2$.

We discuss now the possible connection between this wave drag decrease during planing and the decrease of the apparent wake angle described in the previous section. The wave drag $R_W$ is
Benzaquen et al. (2011) for a Gaussian pressure
the part of the hydrodynamic drag due to the energy radiated by
In order to compare boats of
displacement a dimensionless wave drag
coefficient \(C_W\) is usually defined. Assuming hulls having all the
same shape but not the same size, the wave drag only depends on
the boat velocity \(U\), waterline length \(L\), gravity \(g\) and water density
\(\rho\). One finds by dimensional analysis
\[
\frac{R_W}{\rho U^2 L^2} = C_W(Fr).
\] (7)

In reality this coefficient \(C_W\) also depends on the exact shape of
the boat, and alternate definitions where \(L^2\) is replaced by \(LB\) or \(B^2\)
(where \(B\) is the beam of the hull) are also found in the literature.
Another possibility is to build a dimensionless drag coefficient by
normalizing the wave drag force \(R_W\) by the weight of the boat
\(F_0 = \rho g D\), where \(D\) is the static immersed volume of the hull. For a
displacement boat, the wave drag coefficient rapidly increases (at
least as \(Fr^4\) if defined by Eq. (7)) and becomes the dominant part of
the hydrodynamical drag at large \(Fr\). Note that the power law
\(C_W \propto Fr^4\) can be recovered by a scaling argument, assuming that
the amplitude of the waves scales as \(U^2\) (using the Bernoulli
relation) and that the wavelength observed along the Kelvin angle
also scales as \(U^2\) (Eq. (2)).

The wave drag for an applied pressure field can be computed by
integrating the product of the pressure by the slope of the
interface in the direction of the motion (Havelock, 1919):
\[
R_W = \iint P(x,y) \delta^2 \cos \theta \, dx \, dy,
\] (8)

where \(\delta(x,y)\) is obtained by solving Eq. (6). Using the same
Gaussian pressure field given by Eq. (5), we have computed the
wave drag for various Froude numbers. The results, plotted in
Fig. 5, are in perfect agreement with the exact result found by
Benzaquen et al. (2011) for a Gaussian pressure field:
\[
C_W = \left( \frac{D}{L^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{Fr^4} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\theta}{\cos^5 \theta \exp \left[ \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} Fr \cos \theta}{4} \right)^{-4} \right]}.
\] (9)

This wave drag coefficient is maximum for \(Fr \approx 0.37\), followed
by a decrease as \(C_W \approx 1/\sqrt{Fr^4}\) at large Froude numbers. Interestingly,
this maximum is very close to the critical Froude number
\(Fr_c \approx 0.49\) at which the wake angle starts decreasing. Both results
are consequence of the finite extent of the wave spectrum excited
by the disturbance: as the Froude number is increased, the surface
deformation in the vicinity of the boat is no longer able to supply
energy to the waves of wavelength \(\lambda_g = 2\pi U^2/g\), resulting in a
combined decrease of the wake angle (\(\alpha \approx 1/\sqrt{Fr}\)) and of the wave
drag (\(C_W \approx 1/\sqrt{Fr^4}\)).

The overall shape of \(C_W\) computed by Eq. (9) is remarkably
similar to the experimental curve of Chapman (1972) and computa-
tion by Tuck et al. (2002) (Fig. 6). This curve is usually interpreted as
the result of the lift of the hull and the resulting decrease of the immersed volume at \(Fr > 0.5\). However, in our
description, the prescribed pressure \(P(x,y)\) does not depend on the
velocity, so it does not contain the physics of the dynamical lift on
the hull. This suggests that the dynamics of the planing and the
associated decrease of the immersed volume are not necessary
ingredients for the decrease of the wave drag at large Froude
number. Such prediction could be tested in principle by towing a
hull in a tank with imposed hull elevation and trim.

5. Conclusions

At large velocity many racing sailing boats are planing under the
action of a strong hydrodynamic lift. The fact that the dynamically
immersed volume is smaller than in the static condition provides a
reasonable argument for the diminution of the wave drag. We
propose here a complementary interpretation in which the com-
bined decrease of the wave drag and of the apparent wake angle
both follow from the finite extent of the wave spectrum excited by
the ship. This interpretation is based on simulations of the wave
pattern generated by an imposed pressure disturbance, that

demonstrate that the narrow wake angles at large Froude number can be
observed without lift and thus without planing regime (Rabaud and
Moisy, 2013; Darmon et al., 2014). Although only axisymmetric
disturbances are considered here, the Froude number dependence
of the wake angle has been recently examined for non-axisymmetric
disturbances by Noblesse et al. (2014) and Moisy and Rabaud (2014).
The corresponding evolution of the wave drag is addressed in
Benzaquen et al. (2014), providing an important step towards the
connection between wave drag and wake angle for real boats.

We note that the present description is by construction limited
to stationary motion, i.e. boat translating on a flat sea surface. In
real situations the wind and thus the wind waves are usually large
in planing conditions, and thus a periodic motion of the boat at the
wave encounter frequency is observed. These non-stationary
conditions are important because they increase the hydrodynamic
drag when sailing at close reach (e.g., Seo et al., 2013) and decrease

---

**Fig. 5.** Dimensionless wave drag calculated for a Gaussian moving pressure field
with our simulated wave field (□) and comparison with Eq. (9) (—).

**Fig. 6.** Dimensionless wave drag for a parabolic strut (Tuck et al., 2002, Fig. 1).
the drag when surfing on swell. However, this issue goes well beyond the scope of this paper.
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