

EPL, **144** (2023) 67001 doi: 10.1209/0295-5075/ad1b64 www.epljournal.org

Force model in the penetration by impact into confined granular media

A. SEGUIN^(a)

Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, FAST - 91405 Orsay, France

received 24 August 2023; accepted in final form 5 January 2024 published online 18 January 2024

Abstract – We study analytically the influence of lateral confinement on the penetration depth of a sphere into a granular medium by impact. The granular medium is contained in a cylindrical tank of diameter D and a sphere of diameter d plunges along its axis. The presence of side walls, parametrized by the distance D - d between the walls and the sphere, influences the penetration depth. Here, we deploy a continuous analytical model to account for the presence of side walls. After solving and calibrating this model for an infinite medium $(D/d \rightarrow \infty)$, we show that it is possible to extend this model without any additional parameters to account for lateral confinement. The influence of side walls is modelled by an exponential effect, which modifies the sphere's penetration dynamics. The solution of the model is shown to be in agreement with experimental results.

Copyright © 2024 EPLA

Introduction. - The impact pattern of objects on materials is a recurring problem to measure the energy that the materials are capable of absorbing during an impact. Beyond mechanical testing, soil mechanics and geotechnics, this generic configuration has industrial applications [1,2] and geological applications in the formation of impact craters [3–5]. To study these configurations, divided media such as granular materials are often used at laboratory scale [6-14]. When a sphere of diameter d and density ρ impacts a granular material of density ρ_q , the sphere ejects grains from the medium on impact, penetrates the medium and comes to rest at a depth defined by the geometric and kinetic parameters of both the sphere and the granular medium. The penetration depth δ has been extensively studied in previous studies. To characterize δ as a function of the kinetic energy imparted to the sphere, most of the usual scaling laws use the total height of fall h [7,8,15–17]. This total height of fall h is the sum of the height during free fall and the penetration depth δ . The decision to use the height h is motivated by energy considerations. During penetration, the projectile still possesses non-zero kinetic energy, and often travels a distance greater than its diameter. In this case δ is not negligible compared with the free-fall height. Therefore, the kinetic energy of the projectile dissipated along δ is taken into account in an effective total height of fall h.

A commonly used scaling law has the following expression:

$$\frac{\delta}{d} = A \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_g}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{h}{d}\right)^{\alpha},\tag{1}$$

where α and β are exponents determined experimentally [7,8]. It is also possible to choose the impact velocity v_i as parameter in order to develop another scaling law [15]. This approximate scaling law is a practical formulation, whereas other models for infinite media typically give an implicit formulation through the equation of the object's penetration dynamics [18–21]. The final impact depth δ is linked to the dynamics of the sphere during its penetration over time. Thus, during its path, the granular medium exerts a global drag force on the object, leading to its stop. The drag force of an object in a granular medium is often modelled by the sum of two terms [18,19]: a frictional drag term and a collisional drag term. The frictional drag term is modelled by a force proportional to the pressure prevailing in the granular medium [22,23], whereas the collisional drag term is characterized by the resistance to advancement produced by the dissipation of kinetic energy when grains collide with the object [18,19,24,25].

Most real-life geotechnical or industrial situations involve walls that can alter the penetration of objects. It is thus important to understand and anticipate how the wall will affect the object's penetration. Most of the time, the granular medium is considered as infinite medium. A first study has defined the geometric conditions to be met by

^(a)E-mail: antoine.seguin@universite-paris-saclay.fr (corresponding author)

experiments in granular materials in order to determine whether wall effects can be neglected [8]. The influence of walls has the effect of decreasing the depth of penetration as confinement becomes more and more significant. This lateral confinement effect is reflected in the values of the exponent α and the prefactor A of eq. (1), which are functions of the size of the container of the granular medium. As confinement increases, the values of α and A decrease. However, these evolutions remain descriptive and there is no analytical model to take this effect into account.

In this paper, we develop and propose a model of the influence of lateral confinement during impact penetration in a granular material. After the presentation of the model configuration, we establish the general equation for the penetration dynamics of a sphere in a laterally confined granular material. We first describe the different models of drag terms already observed in various configurations. Then we combine all these terms to define a general equation for penetration dynamics. This equation includes a new term accounting for the lateral confinement. After fitting the model on experimental results obtained in infinite granular media, we show that this model provides valid results for laterally confined granular media.

Configuration. – The configuration is shown in fig. 1. A sphere of diameter d, density ρ and mass $m = \pi \rho d^3/6$ impacts vertically with a granular medium at impact velocity v_i . Thus, this impact velocity v_i comes from a free fall drop at a height $v_i^2/(2g)$, where g is the gravity field. After this impact (corresponding to the surface of the granular material and the origin of the displacement), the sphere moves through the granular medium at a depth z(t) which increases as a function of time until it stops at a depth δ . The granular medium is considered to be a model continuous medium whose constituent grains have a density ρ_a , so the diameter of the grains are not taken into account. The packing fraction is assumed to be constant and homogeneous throughout the object's penetration. The granular medium is contained in a cylindrical container of diameter D and infinite height. It has been shown experimentally that the bottom of the tank has no influence on penetration depth [8]. The goal is to determine the final penetration depth δ as a function of all geometric parameters, material parameters and impact velocity. We thus define the total height of fall $h = \delta + v_i^2 / (2g).$

The penetration dynamics z(t) is based on two sources of drag forces. The first term comes from a frictional drag force resulting from a local shear stress proportional to pressure [22,23]. As the pressure in the granular material is hydrostatic, this pressure is modeled by a term $\sim \rho_g gz$, where z is the instantaneous depth. The second term comes from an inertial drag force resulting from collisions. The stress generated by these collisions is modelled by a term $\sim \rho_g (dz/dt)^2$, where dz/dt represents the vertical velocity of the object.



Fig. 1: Sketch of the studied configuration defining the main parameters of the model.

Confined granular media have also been the subject of numerous studies [8,26–30]. More generally, the effect of confinement of granular media was highlighted by Janssen's pioneering work [31]. When a granular medium is used to fill a tank, the pressure at the bottom of the tank does not evolve linearly with the weight of the grain column, but saturates at a constant value. This saturation is generated by the fact that the grains exhibits a non-zero friction coefficient and cling to the walls of the tank. The weight of the grains is then taken up by the side walls, and there is a skimming length characteristic of this saturation, linked to the characteristic dimension of the tank. This effect, known as the Janssen effect, also exists in a dynamic version when there is relative movement between the walls and the grains [27]. This saturation exists when the direction of relative motion is opposite to that of gravity. However, the direction has a strong influence on the modeling and on the intensity of this effect [26]. When relative motion is in the direction of gravity, there is no saturation, but rather an amplification of the resistance force due to the walls. The stress formulation of this effect is modelled by a term $\sim \rho_q g \lambda \exp[(z/\lambda) - 1]$, where λ is an effective screening length [26].

Experimental data have already been established in the configuration of fig. 1 in [8]. We reuse this dataset for the comparison with our model. The granular bed is composed of glass spheres ($\rho_g \simeq 2500 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$) of mean diameter of $350 \,\mu\text{m}$. Several tanks of diameter D = 24, 35, 40, 50, 62, 80, 128 and 190 mm have been used. The impacting sphere is made of different material leading to $\rho = 1140, 2150, 2500, 7800$ and $14970 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$. The sphere diameter is d = 5, 10, 19, 20 and 40 mm. The velocity of impact v_i ranges from 0 to $3 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$.

Model. – In this configuration, we seek to determine the equation for the dynamics of the sphere as it moves through the granular medium. The force balance is made up of a driving force and two drag force terms, which have the effect of slowing down the sphere. The driving force corresponds to the sphere's weight mg. According to previous studies [18–21], the collisional drag force term can be reasonably modelled by an expression of the form $K_v \rho_g d^2 (dz/dt)^2$, where d^2 is proportional to the surface of the sphere and K_v is a coefficient. In our model, we modify here the frictional drag force which is usually a linear function of z [18,20,21].

Referring to the experimental study of [26], during penetration of the sphere, the frictional drag force felt by the sphere is caused by the quasi-horizontal redirection of stresses in the grains in the vicinity of the lower part of the sphere. These stresses will be dissipated by friction through chains of forces between the grains [32]. When the distance between the tank walls and the sphere is small, these chains of forces will cling to the walls also by friction. In a formalism similar to the Janssen effect, this wall friction exerts a pressure that varies exponentially with the depth z with a characteristic distance between the walls and the sphere. The effect is positive here, since the sphere's motion moves in the opposite direction to the frictional forces, amplifying these forces. The inclusion of the exponential effect in the frictional term enables this term to be rewritten more finely, since it must be compatible with all existing formulations. If we consider the sphere moving relatively to a fixed wall of the container, then a basic effective characteristic length λ can be written simply as $\lambda = D - d$. Rewriting the exponential formulation [26], the frictional drag term becomes $K_z \rho_q g(D-d) d^2 \exp[(z/(D-d)) - 1]$, where d^2 is still proportional to the sphere surface and K_z is a coefficient.

The general equation for the object's dynamics can be formulated as follows:

$$\frac{1}{6}\pi\rho d^3 \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 z}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = \frac{1}{6}\pi\rho d^3 g - K_v \rho_g g d^2 \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)^2 - K_z \rho_g g (D-d) d^2 \left(\exp\left(\frac{z(t)}{D-d}\right) - 1\right), \quad (2)$$

with the following initial conditions: z(0) = 0 and $dz/dt(0) = v_i$, where v_i is the initial impact velocity of the sphere. Note that in the limit of laterally unconfined media $(D \to \infty)$, we recover the usual results for the friction drag force term in $K_z \rho_g gz d^2$ by performing a first-order Taylor expansion in z/(D-d) of the exponential. Thus, this complete equation includes previous formulations established in earlier studies [18,21]. In order to write a normalized equation, it is relevant to establish the normalized quantities of time T, depth Z, screening length Λ and impact velocity V_i :

$$Z = \frac{6\rho_g z}{\pi\rho d}, \qquad T = \left(\frac{6\rho_g g}{\pi\rho d}\right)^{1/2} t,$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{6\rho_g (D-d)}{\pi\rho d}, \quad V_i = \left(\frac{6\rho_g}{\pi\rho g d}\right)^{1/2} v_i.$$
(3)

By injecting these variables into eq. (2), the normalized problem is then written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Z}{\mathrm{d}T^2} = 1 - K_v \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}Z}{\mathrm{d}T}\right)^2 - K_z \Lambda \left(\exp\left(\frac{Z}{\Lambda}\right) - 1\right), \quad (4)$$

with initial conditions Z(0) = 0 and $dZ/dT(0) = V_i$. Equation (4) can be solved numerically. The dimensionless distance Δ covered by the object from the granular surface (Z(0) = 0) until it stops can be evaluated when dZ/dT = 0.

Unbounded medium. – We first consider the case of an infinite tank corresponding to $D \to \infty$, thus $\Lambda \to \infty$ (eq. (3)). The goal of this section is to determine the values of K_v and K_z that must be valid within this limit. It is important to note that the normalized variables provide the relationship $\delta/d = (\pi/6)(\rho/\rho_g)\Delta$ when the projectile stops (eq. (3)). Consequently, the total fall height is $h/d = (\pi/6)(\rho/\rho_g)(\Delta + V_i^2/2)$ allowing to define $H = \Delta + V_i^2/2$. In an infinite medium $(\Lambda \to \infty)$, eq. (4) reduces to the usual impact equation as $K_z\Lambda(\exp(Z/\Lambda) - 1) \sim K_zZ$ [18,20,21]. Thus, the penetration depth Δ is a function of the total height H such that $\Delta = f(H)$. As a result, the experimental scaling law 1 between δ and h can be improved. By injecting normalized quantities (3), the scaling law (1) can be rewritten:

$$\Delta = A \left(\frac{6}{\pi}\right)^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_g}\right)^{\beta+\alpha-1} H^{\alpha}.$$
 (5)

Since Δ depends only on H, this imposes the condition $\beta + \alpha = 1$. This result is exactly what was obtained experimentally [8,15,16]. Note that we can improve the relationship (1) by using the link between α and β . Therefore, eq. (5) can be rewritten:

$$\Delta = BH^{\alpha} \tag{6}$$

with $B = A (6/\pi)^{1-\alpha}$. Using the values obtained experimentally $(A = 0.37 \text{ and } \alpha = 0.4 [8])$, this leads to $B \approx 0.55$. Note that B depends on α in this formulation. The coefficients K_z and K_v in eq. (4) are coefficients characterizing energy dissipation in the medium. They include the various coefficients of friction and the collision dissipation coefficient. They are also a function of the packing fraction of the medium. The determination of these coefficients has been the subject of numerous studies [7,19,30,33–36].

We are working here on an experimental dataset obtained in an infinite granular medium corresponding to D = 190 mm [8]. The goal here is to make a comparison between the experimental measurements [8], the scaling law given by eq. (6) and the solution of eq. (4) in the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$. Figure 2 shows those former experimental data by plotting Δ as a function of H. A power law fit is applied on the experimental data (blue curve) according to eq. (6) and this yields $\alpha = 0.4$ and B = 0.55. In parallel, we solve eq. (4) for similar ranges of H (fig. 2). The values $K_z = 8$ and $K_v = 0.15$ are used to draw the solid black curve in fig. 2. We obtain excellent agreement with experimental measurements and recover the α and B values given by the fit of the experimental data. However, relationship (6) is not the solution to eq. (4) we can





Fig. 2: Evolution of normalized penetration depth Δ as a function of normalized total height H. Experimental data for steel spheres $\rho = 7800 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ of different diameters: d = 5 mm (∇), d = 10 mm (\diamond), d = 20 mm (\circ), and d = 40 mm (Δ). Experimental data for spheres of diameter d = 20 mm and of different materials corresponding to different density ratio ρ/ρ_g : $\rho = 1140 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ (\blacktriangledown), $\rho = 2150 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ (\bullet), $\rho = 2500 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ (\blacksquare) and $\rho = 14970 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ (\bullet) [8]. The blue curve represents the fit of experimental data in infinite granular medium of the form $\Delta = BH^{\alpha}$ with B = 0.55 and $\alpha = 0.4$ [8]. The lines represents the solution of eq. (4) for $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and for different values of K_z and K_v : $K_z = 8$ and $K_v = 0.15$ (solid line), $K_z = 50$ and $K_v = 0.15$ (dashed line), $K_z = 8$ and $K_v = 1$ (dotted line).

only conclude that the difference between the two is small. Figure 2 shows the solution of eq. (4) with $K_z = 8$ and $K_v = 1$ (dotted line) and with $K_z = 50$ and $K_v = 0.15$ (dashed line). The influence of K_z and K_v can be seen on the shape of the curves $\Delta = f(H)$. Note that B seems to be mainly a function of K_v (as the dotted line is almost parallel to the solid line) while α seems to be mainly a function of K_z (as the dashed line and the solid line are similar at low H values).

It is very likely that K_z and K_v depend on the packing fraction of the granular material. The evolution of the inertial drag force and the frictional drag force of a disk with an initial packing fraction ϕ_0 have been measured in a 2D packing [24,25]. The two force terms vary as $(\phi_c - \phi_0)^{-1/2}$, where ϕ_c is the critical packing fraction. This formulation has geometrical origin, *i.e.*, the disk needs extra space in order to move, so it creates a cluster in front of it. For an initial packing fraction close to the critical value ϕ_c , these forces diverge. In a 3D packing, we expect the two drag force terms to follow a similar dependence and, therefore, the coefficients K_z and K_v should vary such that $K_z \sim (\phi_c - \phi_0)^{-1/3}$ and $K_v \sim (\phi_c - \phi_0)^{-1/3}$. It is reasonable to assume that this formulation is only valid in the vicinity of the critical volume fraction $\phi_c \simeq 0.64$ (a reasonable value for 3D packing). Measurements of fig. 2 were carried out with an approximate value of $\phi_0 \simeq 0.60$. The experimental range of variation in ϕ_0 goes from loose to

Fig. 3: Evolution of normalized penetration depth Δ as a function of normalized total height H. The large colored curves represent the solution of eq. (4) for values $K_z = 8$ and $K_v = 0.15$ for different values of lateral confinement Λ . Color code spans from blue to red for $10^{-2} < \Lambda < 10$, respectively. The thin colored curves represent a power law fit of the data using eq. (6).

dense packing, *i.e.*, $0.55 \leq \phi_0 \leq 0.63$. Thus, it is possible to give a range of coefficient variations with the packing fraction range ϕ_0 which is approximately $6.1 \leq K_z \leq 13.7$ and $0.11 \leq K_v \leq 0.26$. For the rest of our analytical study, $K_z = 8$ and $K_v = 0.15$ remain constant and there are no more adjustable parameters.

Lateral confinement of the medium. – We now investigate the influence of lateral confinement by evaluating the influence of the parameter Λ . To do this, we solve eq. (4) for different values of Λ . The results are shown in fig. 3 for intermediate H values with large solid line. The color scale ranges from red (low values of Λ) to blue $(\Lambda \to \infty)$. We note that the lower the value of Λ , the lower the value of penetration Δ for a given value of H. This is in line with experimental observations [8].

In order to have a more explicit and synthetic comparison, we choose to model the analytical results provided by eq. (6) in the partial range of H comparable to the experimental data. To do this, we fit the analytical data to eq. (4). Thus, for each value of Λ , we determine the values of α and B. The fits are plotted in fig. 3 with thin solid line on all the range of H.

Figure 4 shows the evolution of α and B as a function of Λ . It can be seen that α and B decrease as Λ decreases over the Λ range studied. Corresponding data points and fits from an earlier experimental study [8] have been added to fig. 4: $\alpha = 0.4(1 - \exp(\Lambda/0.8))$ and B = $0.37(\rho/\rho_g)^{0.61}((\pi\rho)/(6\rho_g))^{1-\alpha} (1 - \exp(-(\Lambda(\pi\rho)/(6\rho_g) +$ 1)/0.8). Over the range of H that is explored, we find that the agreement between the experimental data and the analytical model is excellent without any adjustable parameter. Note that we observe a slight discrepancy between the value of B provided by the model and the



Fig. 4: Power exponent α (a) and prefactor B (b) of eq. (6) as a function of Λ obtained by solution of eq. (4). The color code corresponds to increasing values of Λ and is the same as in fig. 2. The symbols correspond to experimental data in the same configuration, extracted from [8]. For varying D and $\rho = 7800 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$: $d = 5 \text{ mm} (\nabla)$, $d = 19 \text{ mm} (\bullet)$ and $d = 40 \text{ mm} (\Box)$. For $\rho = 14970 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$: $d = 20 \text{ mm} (\diamond)$. The dashed lines correspond to the best fit of the experimental data described by [8].

experimental data for small diameter values d = 5 mm (fig. 4(b)). This slight discrepancy is also observed in the infinite case (fig. 2). We believe that this deviation is linked to the fact that the granular medium can no longer be considered as a continuous medium, as the aspect ratio between sphere diameter and grain diameter begins to approach 1.

Agreement between the model and the experiment allows us to specify the influence of K_z and K_v in the model. Indeed, it can be seen that the K_v term has not been modified from the infinite case to obtain this agreement. This is consistent with the modeling of a collisional drag force term [18,24,25]. This term has no connection with friction at a wall. The most striking feature is that K_z can be kept constant when using lateral confinement. The value of K_z is closely linked to the macroscopic coefficient of friction between the grains. The presence of lateral walls can certainly generate a different coefficient of friction between the grains and the walls, but this difference will often be of the same order of magnitude, as is the case in the experimental measurements. It is not reasonable to expect an exponential variation in the coefficient of friction in the experimental data. Thus, the presence of a wall modifies the pressure prevailing within the granular medium through a geometric effect due to grain chains forces clinging to the lateral walls.

The model allows also to describes the data in a refined way as already specified in the experimental study in ref. [8]. In this study, the fits were established without a model equation, with the goal of describing the data as well as possible. The fits were chosen in exponential form to account for the growth of α and B at low values of Λ and the saturation of α and B at high values of Λ . We find that the fit of $\alpha(\Lambda)$ proposed by [8] has a rather acceptable form (fig. 4(a)). However, the fit of $B(\Lambda)$ deviates significantly from the model for low values of Λ (fig. 4(b)). In particular, the fit proposes a non-zero value of B when $\Lambda = 0$, whereas the model specifies that $B(\Lambda = 0) = 0$. The model derived from eq. (4) provides an improvement on what was identified in the experimental data.

Conclusion. – In this study, we investigated analytically the penetration depth of spheres by impact in a confined granular medium. Experimental scaling laws in the form of power laws are useful to foresee penetration depth [8,15,16]. They are self-explanatory and do not require equation solving. However, they are only valid for a certain range of impact velocities and geometric dimensions. Complete equation-based modeling of the problem enriches experimental results by allowing them to be supplemented. For example, it is possible to take into account the effects of lateral confinement by correcting the hydrostatic pressure-type term in granular materials. This correction involves an exponential expression [26]. The incorporation of this term in the penetration dynamics equations makes it possible to account for the effect of confinement on penetration depth. The results obtained analytically are in excellent agreement with the experimental results. The inclusion of confinement effects enriches dynamic models of object penetration and gives new insights into the physics of geotechnical situations, industrial problems and locomotion in granular materials.

* * *

We thank P. GONDRET and J. VATTEVILLE for fruitful discussions and for their reading of the manuscript.

Data availability statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the authors.

REFERENCES

 FORRESTAL M. J. and LUK V. K., Int. J. Impact Eng., 12 (1992) 427.

- [2] GLÖßNER C., MOSER S., KÜLLS R., HEß S., NAU S., SALK M., PENUMADU D. and PETRINIC N., *Exp. Mech.*, 57 (2017) 261.
- [3] MELOSH H. JAY, Impact Cratering: A Geologic Process (Oxford University Press, New York; Clarendon Press, Oxford) 1989.
- [4] ROBBINS STUART J. and HYNEK BRIAN M., J. Geophys. Res.: Planets, 117 (2012) E05004.
- [5] KAMATH SANDESH, SHAO YAPING and PARTELI ERIC J. R., Geophys. Res. Lett., 49 (2022) e2022GL097767.
- [6] WALSH AMANDA M., HOLLOWAY KRISTI E., HABDAS PI-OTR and DE BRUYN JOHN R., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **91** (2003) 104301.
- [7] DE BRUYN J. R. and WALSH A. M., Can. J. Phys., 82 (2004) 439.
- [8] SEGUIN ANTOINE, BERTHO YANN and GONDRET PHILIPPE, *Phys. Rev. E*, **78** (2008) 010301.
- [9] DEBOEUF STÉPHANIE, GONDRET PHILIPPE and RABAUD MARC, *Phys. Rev. E*, **79** (2009) 041306.
- [10] UMBANHOWAR PAUL and GOLDMAN DANIEL I., Phys. Rev. E, 82 (2010) 010301.
- [11] MARSTON J. O., LI E. Q. and THORODDSEN SIGUR-DUR T., J. Fluid Mech., 704 (2012) 5.
- [12] KATSURAGI HIROAKI, Physics of Soft Impact and Cratering (Springer) 2016.
- [13] VAN DER MEER DEVARAJ, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech., 49 (2017) 463.
- [14] PACHECO-VÁZQUEZ F., Phys. Rev. Lett., **122** (2019) 164501.
- [15] UEHARA J. S., AMBROSO M. A., OJHA R. P. and DURIAN DOUGLAS J., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **90** (2003) 194301.
- [16] AMBROSO M. A., KAMIEN RANDALL D. and DURIAN DOUGLAS J., Phys. Rev. E, 72 (2005) 041305.
- [17] CARVALHO DOUGLAS D., LIMA NICOLAO C. and FRANKLIN ERICK M., Phys. Rev. E, 107 (2023) 044901.
- [18] KATSURAGI HIROAKI and DURIAN DOUGLAS J., Nat. Phys., 3 (2007) 420.

- [19] SEGUIN ANTOINE, BERTHO YANN, GONDRET PHILIPPE and CRASSOUS JÉRÔME, EPL, 88 (2009) 44002.
- [20] HINCH JOHN, J. Eng. Math., 84 (2014) 41.
- [21] GUO JUNKE, J. Eng. Mech., 144 (2018) 06017018.
- [22] ALBERT R., PFEIFER M. A., BARABÁSI A.-L. and SCHIF-FER P., Phys. Rev. Lett., 82 (1999) 205.
- [23] ALBERT ISTVAN, SAMPLE J. G., MORSS A. J., RA-JAGOPALAN S., BARABÁSI A.-L. and SCHIFFER P., *Phys. Rev. E*, 64 (2001) 061303.
- [24] TAKEHARA YUKA, FUJIMOTO SACHIKA and OKUMURA Ko, *EPL*, **92** (2010) 44003.
- [25] TAKEHARA YUKA and OKUMURA KO, Phys. Rev. Lett., 112 (2014) 148001.
- [26] OVARLEZ GUILLAUME, KOLB EVELYNE and CLÉMENT ERIC, Phys. Rev. E, 64 (2001) 060302.
- [27] BERTHO YANN, GIORGIUTTI-DAUPHINÉ FRÉDÉRIQUE and HULIN JEAN-PIERRE, Phys. Rev. Lett., 90 (2003) 144301.
- [28] LOPEZ-RODRIGUEZ L. A. and PACHECO-VAZQUEZ F., Phys. Rev. E, 96 (2017) 030901.
- [29] MAHAJAN SHIVAM, TENNENBAUM MICHAEL, PATHAK SUDHIR N., BAXTER DEVONTAE, FAN XIAOCHEN, PADILLA PABLO, ANDERSON CALEB, FERNANDEZ-NIEVES ALBERTO and PICA CIAMARRA MASSIMO, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **124** (2020) 128002.
- [30] SEGUIN ANTOINE, BERTHO YANN and DARBOIS TEXIER BAPTISTE, Phys. Rev. E, 106 (2022) 054904.
- [31] JANSSEN H. A., Z. Ver. Dtsch. Ing., 39 (1895) 1045.
- [32] RADJAI FARHANG, JEAN MICHEL, MOREAU JEAN-JACQUES and ROUX STÉPHANE, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 77 (1996) 274.
- [33] STONE M. B., BARRY R., BERNSTEIN D. P., PELC M. D., TSUI Y. K. and Schiffer P., *Phys. Rev. E*, **70** (2004) 041301.
- [34] SEGUIN A. and GONDRET P., Phys. Rev. E, 98 (2018) 012906.
- [35] KANG WENTING, FENG YAJIE, LIU CAISHAN and BLU-MENFELD RAPHAEL, Nat. Commun., 9 (2018) 1.
- [36] TEXIER DARBOIS B. and SEGUIN A., Phys. Rev. E, 106 (2022) 014906.